is child psychotherapy effective for children and young people?

a summary of the research

The Child Psychotherapy Trust is dedicated to improving the lives of emotionally damaged children by increasing their access to effective child and adolescent psychotherapy services.
Developing appropriate and effective mental health services for children is one of the most important challenges facing health authorities and primary care groups. However, the lack of systematic research about interventions for children and young people means that there is little evidence about which interventions are effective. The strategic review of psychotherapy undertaken for the Department of Health (1996) points out that the lack of evidence does not mean that interventions are not effective, just that we need to undertake research to find out if they are.

Child psychotherapists work with mental health teams in assessing children and providing support and consultation to other professionals working with children. In addition they provide treatment for children with severe problems, where other interventions have failed to help them. The contribution that child psychotherapy services can make to mental health is outlined in With children in mind: how child psychotherapy contributes to mental health services for children and young people produced by the Child Psychotherapy Trust.

Meta-analysis of outcome studies of all types of child psychotherapy, including psychoanalytic, have shown that psychotherapeutic treatments for children are associated with significant improvements (Weisz et al., 1987). Overall children and young people who have received psychotherapy show more trust, confidence, age-appropriate behaviour and a greater awareness and concern for other people than those who have not received treatment.

We also know some of the circumstances that may lead to effective psychotherapy:

- Younger children are likely to show larger changes than older ones and are more likely to be well at the end of treatment.
- Young people may make greatest improvement in the first six months of treatment. Longer treatment may be required for entrenched personality problems and social difficulties.
- Older children and young people who refer themselves to services have better outcomes than those referred by others.
- Longer treatment is generally associated with good outcome for children.
- Intensive treatment (that is the number of treatment sessions a week) is important for certain conditions (generalised anxiety, depression or mixed emotional and disruptive disorder).

In addition the child or young person may continue
to improve after psychotherapy finishes. This paper looks at the research that is available on the outcomes of psychoanalytic child psychotherapy and the evidence for its effectiveness. Some of the key studies are summarised in the bibliography.

2 The outcome of child psychotherapy

Mental health services need to offer a range of options for children and families, since different interventions and services are helpful, depending on the individual circumstances of children and their families. Child psychotherapy, using psychoanalytic approaches, is among services that should be available in all areas for children and young people and their families who may benefit from it. Child psychotherapists work with individual children, with families and with groups as well as providing support and advice to other professionals.

Severe childhood disorders seldom get better spontaneously and many disorders are associated with poor adjustment in adolescent and adult life. Brief assessment with feedback to parents can be effective in helping families with younger children to understand their problems better and encourage them to deal with them (Smyrnios and Kirkby, 1993).

Children seen by child psychotherapists have more complex and long established problems and many of them have already failed to respond to other forms of treatment (Beedell and Payne, 1987). Children who receive psychotherapy continue to improve after the therapy ends (Wright et al., 1976).

Emotional disorders

Emotional (or internalising) disorders constitute just under half of psychological disturbance in childhood. These include anxiety and depression where the distress is kept inside rather than expressed in disruptive behaviour.

- A retrospective study was carried out of 763 children and adolescents, who had attended the Anna Freud Centre over a 40 year period. 299 of these children had emotional disorders. Over 85% of the children with anxiety and depressive disorders no longer suffered any diagnosable emotional disorder after an average of two years treatment (Target and Fonagy, 1994).
- Heinicke and Ramsey-Klee (1986) looked at whether psychoanalytic psychotherapy helped children aged seven to ten with reading difficulties related to emotional disturbance. Children were divided into three groups in which the frequency of treatment sessions were set at either one or four times per week for two years, or once a week in the first year following by four times a week in the second. All treatments led to gains in self esteem, adaptation and the capacity for relationships. Gains were significantly greater and better sustained for groups treated four times per week for one or both years. Young people aged 12 to 25, who attended a community-based psychoanalytic psychotherapy service showed improvement, in particular those who had social problems or were anxious and depressed. After one year of treatment a large majority of young people’s scores for emotional (that is internalising) problems and overall problems had significantly improved.

Improvements in disruptive behaviour (or externalising problems) were less marked because according to self report assessments at intake, young people reported fewer of these problems. The outcome was assessed by the young people themselves, the therapist and a ‘significant other’, such as a parent or friend (Baruch, 1995; Baruch et al., 1998a).

- A study of eight adolescents in hospital with obsessive-compulsive disorders, who had refused to co-operate with behavioural treatment, found that they accepted psychoanalytic psychotherapy and improved (Apter et al., 1984).

Disruptive behaviour disorders

Children and young people with disruptive behavioural disorders (that is externalising disorders) show improvements with psychoanalytic psychotherapy, though they are more likely to drop out of treatment and the improvement is not so marked as for internalising (that is emotional) disorders.

- In the retrospective study of clients of the Anna Freud Centre, 93 children with disruptive behavioural disorders, who had continued in
therapy for at least a year, were followed up. By the end of treatment 69% no longer warranted any diagnosis (Target and Fonagy, 1994).

In the treatment of children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) research has shown there to be a substantial and long term improvement with ‘multi-modal’ therapy of at least two years duration (including the provision of intense individual psychotherapy, as compared to brief treatment or stimulant medication on its own). Treatment of at least two years showed greater improvement on a variety of relevant measures of adjustment and behaviour (Satterfield et al, 1981). A nine year follow-up showed that 30% of the boys on stimulant medication alone had at least two arrests for felonies, as compared with 13% of the boys given multi-modal treatment and 7% of boys who continued in treatment for two to three years (Satterfield et al, 1987).

sexual abuse and abusing children

There is some evidence that about half of those boys who show abusive behaviour have themselves been abused. Preliminary results of a study of boys aged between 11 and 16 suggest that early intervention with boys who are known to have abused other children can help them realise the impact of their behaviour on the child they have abused and provide the crisis that facilitates change, particularly in adolescence (Hodges et al, 1994; Skuse et al, 1998).

A multi-centre study of 70 girls who had been sexually abused is being conducted at present (Trowell et al, 1995).

children in foster care or who have been adopted

Children who are fostered or adopted make good progress with psychoanalytic psychotherapy, as compared to an untreated group who showed no improvement. Children who have received psychotherapy are less likely to need expensive institutional placements later and the breakdown of foster placements may be avoided. Lush, Boston and Grainger (1991) looked at whether psychotherapy could help children referred to the Tavistock Clinic who had been fostered or adopted. They measured outcome for 20 children, using standard questionnaires, with external assessment and independent clinical ratings. Most children did well. It found that therapists tended to underestimate improvements as compared to external carers’ ratings. An informal comparison was made with seven similar control children; none of whom had improved during the same period. A follow up case study of one boy six months and one year after the ending of therapy illustrated how the outcome, which had been beneficial for the boy according to his assessment and that of his adoptive parents, was related to the processes of child psychotherapy and continued after the end of therapy (Lush et al, 1998).

children with medical/developmental problems

Psychoanalytic psychotherapy can help children who have difficulty controlling their diabetes and who, as a result, are frequently admitted to hospital. Twenty two children in hospital receiving medical treatment were divided into two groups. One group received three to four times weekly psychoanalytic psychotherapy for 15 weeks. There were significant improvements in the blood glucose control of the treatment group compared to the untreated group. This was maintained at one year follow up for the treatment group, but children in the control group returned to their prehospitalisation level of problems within three months of discharge (Moran et al, 1991; Fonagy and Moran, 1990). Fonagy and Moran (1990) also examined three diabetic children whose height had fallen below the fifth percentile for age. These children received brief psychotherapy and they found that treatment was linked to accelerated growth and substantial increase in predicted adult height.

About 10% of babies are estimated to cry excessively. This can undermine the parents’ confidence and impair the relationship of the parent and child in the long term, if early help is not offered. A child psychotherapist undertook a retrospective analysis of 45 of her own cases of excessive infant crying and parental difficulties. Sometimes a few sessions were effective. What proved to be the important factor in successful therapy was that it was structured around the child’s needs, based on shared observation of the infant (Acquarone, 1992). These findings were supported by two case studies which demonstrated that the most important factor was recognising the needs of the infant (Hopkins, 1994). Both studies pointed to the capacity for parents and infant to change and respond to brief intervention by the therapist.
factors affecting the outcome of therapy

age of child
Younger children are likely to show larger changes than older ones and are more likely to be well at the end of treatment.
- Younger children (those under 12) are likely to show larger changes than older ones and are more likely to be well at the end of treatment (Target and Fonagy, 1994).
- Children under nine with disruptive behaviour showed a greater improvement with psychotherapy than older children (Target and Fonagy, 1994).

access to services and self-referrals
Families or young people who refer themselves for help (self referrals) are not less complex or severe than those referred by professionals.
- An analysis of self referrals to the Tavistock Clinic in London found that self referrals were not a ‘low-risk’ group and that over half were rated very severe. Previous experience of therapy or knowledge of the service seemed to influence the decision to self refer. The study concluded that families who refer themselves may be highly motivated to work with therapists and therefore helping them is an efficient use of resources (Harris and Bell, 1998).
- A study of 160 people who came to the Adolescent and Young People’s Counselling Service at the Tavistock Clinic in four months from April to June 1996 rated each person according to the severity, complexity and chronicity of the problem. The study found that there were no significant differences between self referrals and professional referrals. The researchers concluded that the assumption that young people can safely be denied direct access to specialist psychotherapy services must be rejected (Upson and Wright, 1998).
- Older children and young people who refer themselves to services have better outcomes than those referred by others.
  - Baruch et al (1998a) found that motivation was an important predictor of young people remaining in and benefiting from treatment. For some older children the prospect of being about to enter adulthood may provide the motivation for them to make effective use of psychoanalytic psychotherapy. A study of 134 young people, comparing those who dropped out of treatment prematurely and those who continued in treatment in a community-based psychotherapy centre was undertaken by Baruch et al (1998b). This found that those who continued in treatment were older, had fewer externalising problems, were self referred and were likely to be treated by supportive therapists. Those who dropped out were younger, had greater externalising problems, school problems and presented with severe hyperkinetic or conduct disorders. In the younger group children from ethnic minority groups and who were treated by a supportive therapist were most likely to continue in treatment.

length of treatment
Though longer treatment is generally associated with a good outcome, good outcomes can be achieved sometimes with brief psychotherapy.
- Young people may make greatest improvement in the first six months of treatment and make less gains after this (Baruch et al, 1998a). This suggests that young people initially improve symptomatically with later gains perhaps being made in relation to entrenched personality problems and social difficulties.
- A study comparing 30 children aged five to nine years found that those given assessment consultations (varying from one to three sessions), a feedback session and a follow up session 12 weeks later improved as much as those given longer sessions (Smyrnios and Kirkby, 1993). This may demonstrate the benefit of goal-oriented treatment (Target, 1998). Furthermore, children in more difficult circumstances whose problems were likely to be more entrenched were excluded from the study: single parent families, families where a parent had a history of drug abuse or mental health problems as well as children with severe learning disabilities (28 out of 58 referrals).

Intensive treatment (that is three to five sessions a week) may be needed for certain conditions (generalised anxiety, depression or mixed emotional and disruptive disorder).
- For both children and adolescents, the more intensive the treatments (four or five sessions per week), the greater the benefit has been, after controlling for the length of treatment and level of impairment at referral (Target and Fonagy, 1994).
- Heinicke and Ramsey-Klee (1986) found that gains for children aged seven to ten with reading difficulties relating to emotional disturbance were significantly greater and better sustained for groups treated four times per week for one or two years.
There is demonstrated improvement in outcome between the termination of individual child psychotherapy and follow-up. The improvement is positively connected with the number of psychotherapy sessions, according to a review of 22 studies. Children appear to show greater improvement from finishing therapy and follow up when psychotherapy sessions numbered 30 or more (Wright et al, 1976).

The outcome for a 100 hyperactive boys given both medication and psychotherapy was better for those that continued in treatment for two to three years. They were further ahead educationally, had less anti-social behaviour, were more attentive at school, better adjusted at home and more globally improved than boys who received less that two years treatment (Satterfield et al, 1981).

Research and audit of child psychotherapy is important to provide the evidence-base for all work with children. In the past there has been little research about psychotherapy with children, in particular, therapies that use psychoanalytic techniques. In addition, much of the research that has purported to investigate child psychotherapy is flawed.2 Child psychotherapy developed from a tradition, that goes back to Freud, of relying on case studies to illustrate the validity of the approach rather than quantitative data from large numbers of patients.

It is increasingly recognised that qualitative research and listening to the views of users are important in evaluating the effectiveness of treatments for mental illness. Objective research and audit methods need to be combined with qualitative approaches that can reflect the complexity of the theory and practice of child psychotherapy and take account of the complexity of the problems of many of the children who are referred to child psychotherapists.

Research is now in progress that may further demonstrate the effectiveness of child psychotherapy and identify the clinical conditions and life circumstances that warrant longer term psychotherapy using psychoanalytic techniques. In addition, clinical audit is being integrated with clinical work. This can help improve practice and at the same time assist in planning the direction of the clinical services (Baruch, 1995). Research and audit into child psychotherapy is addressing the following issues:

- **Assessment** to look at the role of child psychotherapists in assessing children and developing care plans for local authorities, recommending the best ways to help them.

- **Brief psychotherapy** to look at the impact in the short term of symptom relief and at those conditions that respond to brief psychotherapy.

- **Outcome measures** to develop outcome measures that reflect the complexity of the psychoanalytic process and which involve the child or young person, the therapist and parents and school in the evaluation.

- **Long term outcomes** to look at how far benefits are carried into adult life.

- **Qualitative approaches** to develop techniques and methods that enable the personal and individual nature of the therapeutic process to be studied.

---

2 See Shirk, S. R. and Russell, R. I. (1992) ‘A re-evaluation of estimates of child therapy effectiveness’. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 31:703-710. Shirk and Russell have revealed how flawed much research has been which has biased its results. Research has often been undertaken in order to show the superiority of an alternative approach (such as cognitive behavioural therapy). In fact two-thirds of research has been undertaken by researchers who had a declared allegiance to behaviour therapy. They tended to choose methodologies that favoured behavioural approaches. For example, many studies have looked at brief psychotherapy lasting a few weeks, whereas much individual psychotherapy needs to be longer term. Others looked at group work but not individual psychotherapy.

---
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